

Gregg R. Lien  
Attorney at Law, Bar 69620  
P.O. Box 7442  
Tahoe City, CA 96145  
530-583-8500, [lakelaw@sierratahoe.net](mailto:lakelaw@sierratahoe.net)

Mark S. Pollock SBN 80539  
POLLOCK & JAMES, LLP  
1827 Clay Street, Ste. 300  
Napa, CA 94559  
707- 257-3089, [mpollock@pollockandjames.com](mailto:mpollock@pollockandjames.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs MONICA  
EISENSTECKEN, TAHOE STEWARDS,  
LLC, TAHOE FOR SAFER TECH,  
AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TRUST

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MONICA EISENSTECKEN, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING  
AGENCY, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-CV-02349-TLN-CKD

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND  
TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTIONS AND  
CONTINUE HEARING DATE

Filed: November 24, 2020

Trial date: None Set

Plaintiffs Monica Eisenstecken, Tahoe Stewards, LLC, Tahoe for Safer Tech, and  
Environmental Health Trust, and Defendants Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Joanne  
Marchetta, in her official and individual capacities, Marsha Berkbigler, in her official and  
individual capacities, Sue Novasel, in her official and representative capacities, Guillian Nel,  
Tahoe Prosperity Center, Inc., and Sacramento Valley Limited Partnership, doing business as

Verizon Wireless (“Verizon Wireless”), collectively, the “Stipulating Parties,” stipulate as follows:

1. The Plaintiffs filed their Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief and on November 24, 2020 (Dkt. 1) and their Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief on December 10, 2020 (Dkt. 5)(the “Amended Complaint”).

2. The Defendants have waived service of the Amended Complaint, and have filed motions to dismiss, which are collectively set for hearing on April 1, 2021.

3. The Plaintiffs need an extension of time to respond to the motions given the number of parties and complexity of this case, and such extension is acceptable to Defendants. This is the first such extension requested by any Plaintiff.

4. The parties hereby stipulate that the hearing date may be continued to May 13, 2021, with opposition paperwork due on April 15, 2021 and reply brief due on May 6, 2021.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 16, 2021

-/s/ Mark S. Pollock \_\_\_\_\_  
MARK S. POLLOCK  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
MONICA EISENSTECKEN, TAHOE  
STEWARDS, LLC, TAHOE FOR SAFER  
TECH, and ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH  
TRUST

-/s/ John L. Marshall \_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN L. MARSHALL  
Attorney for Defendants  
TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING  
AGENCY, JOANNE MARCHETTA,  
MARSHA BERKBIGLER, and SUE  
NOVASEL

MACKENZIE & ALBRITTON LLP

-/s/ Melanie Sengupta \_\_\_\_\_

MELANIE SENGUPTA  
Attorneys for Defendants  
SACRAMENTO VALLEY LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP, d/b/a VERIZON  
WIRELESS and GUILLIAM NEL


**ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, and good cause being shown, it is hereby  
ORDERED and ADJUDGED that:

1. Plaintiffs shall have until April 15, 2021 to respond to the motions to dismiss and
2. Defendants shall have until May 6, 2021 to file Reply Briefs.
3. The hearing on all motions are continued to May 13, 2021, at 2:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge